UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,227	07/10/2003	Kazuhiko Nagano	Q76484	2250
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/17/200 <b>ON,</b> PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/616,227	NAGANO ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TOD T. VAN ROY	2828				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>TOD T. VAN ROY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Ms. Suzanne Walts</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 10 September 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,12 and 13</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Heidel (US 5212707)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner and Ms.Walts discussed the possible inclusion of the term "overlaps" to overcome the previous art rejection in view of Heidel</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Minsun Harvey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2828				